

Dyson models with random boundary conditions: limit behaviour and metastates

A.C.D. v.E.,
E. Endo,
A. Le Ny,

Rio de Janeiro, 6 September 2021

Long-range Ising models, in $d = 1$, (Dyson models),
long-range,
ferromagnetic Ising models with pair interactions.

Ising spins: random variables $\omega_i = \pm 1, i \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Formally long-range Hamiltonian.

Can be done in different dimensions,
here concentrate on $d = 1$.

$$H = \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} J(i-j) \omega_i \omega_j.$$

with polynomial decay, e.g. $J(i-j) = -|i-j|^{-d\alpha}$.

Simulates high dimensions.

Varying decay power α between 1 and 2 is like
varying dimension in short-range models,
but possible in continuous way.

Slower decay corresponds to higher dimension.

Phase transitions possible, **even** in $d = 1$.

Multiple Gibbs measures.

(Dyson (1969), proving Kac-Thomson conjecture).

Different proofs since.

Use approach of Cassandro-Ferrari-Merola-Presutti,
(plus Littin-Picco, plus theses of Littin and Kimura).

Buzzwords: "Contours, low-temperature expansion".

1) Approximately it holds
 $\alpha \approx \frac{d+2}{d}$ for **critical behaviour**,
mean-field critical behaviour

for $\alpha < \frac{3}{2}$, like $d > 4$.

Suggestive, but only approximate guide.

2) For surface-to-volume arguments $\alpha \approx \frac{d+1}{d}$.

3) **Never, for no α**
rigid interfaces in $d = 1$.

As in $d = 2$.

4) Here I argue:

For $\alpha > \frac{3}{2}$ **random** boundary conditions
provide **finite** boundary energy.

As in $d = 1$.

For $\alpha < \frac{3}{2}$, boundary energy **diverges**.

As in higher d .

Random boundary conditions.

Boundary conditions outside a volume
independent of interactions.

Relevant especially for "**quenched**" disordered systems,
such as **spin glasses**.

Exact relation for "**Mattis disorder**":

Let $J_{i,j}$ be ferromagnetic.

Site disorder $\eta_i = \pm 1$,

then Mattis spin-glass interaction

$$J'_{i,j}(\eta) = J_{i,j}\eta_i\eta_j.$$

Mattis spin glass with **fixed** boundary conditions
equals ferromagnet with **random** boundary conditions.

Equivalence via

random gauge transformation

$$\sigma'_i = \eta_i\sigma_i.$$

Energy estimates:

Flipping all spins in interval of length L

costs energy, **boundary term**,

maximally $O(L^{2-\alpha})$,

uniformly bounded energy when $\alpha > 2$.

Maximal energy between two half-lines is bounded.

Main ingredient for **Gibbs state uniqueness**

(and analyticity, etc). Long known.

New question:

Maximal energy from **random** boundary conditions?

Influence on **limit behaviour** of Gibbs measures?

Dependence on α ?

Gibbs (=DLR) measures= Gibbs fields= "almost" Markov random fields.

Discovered independently,

in East (mathematics)

and West (physics),

(Dobrushin, Lanford-Ruelle 60's).

Mathematical Physics.

Here two-state -Bernoulli- variables,

(= **Ising** spins:)

$\omega_i = \pm 1$, for all $i \in Z$.

Warning: DLR Gibbs \neq SRB Gibbs.

Gibbs measures:

Let G be an infinite graph, here Z .

Configuration space:

Space of sequences: $\Omega = \{-, +\}^G$.

Probability measures on Ω ,

labeled by **interactions**.

An interaction is a collection of functions,

$\Phi_X(\omega)$, dependent on $\{-, +\}^X$,

where the X are subsets of G .

Energy (**Hamiltonian**)

$$H_{\Lambda}^{\Phi, \tau}(\omega) = \sum_{X \cap \Lambda \neq \emptyset} \Phi_X(\omega_{\Lambda} \tau_{\Lambda^c}).$$

Sum of **interaction-energy** terms.

A measure μ is **Gibbs** iff:

(A version of) the

conditional probabilities of

finite-volume configurations,

given the outside configuration, satisfies:

$$\mu(\omega_{\Lambda} | \tau_{\Lambda^c}) = \frac{1}{Z_{\Lambda}^{\tau}} \exp -\beta \sum_{X \cap \Lambda \neq \emptyset} \Phi_X(\omega_{\Lambda} \tau_{\Lambda^c}).$$

for **ALL**

configurations ω ,

boundary conditions τ

and finite volumes Λ ,

at inverse temperature β .

Gibbsian form.

Rigorous version of

$$\mu = \frac{1}{Z} \exp -\beta H ,$$

Gibbs canonical ensemble.

Larger energy means
exponentially smaller probability.

All extremal Gibbs measures
obtainable as infinite-volume limits
with suitable boundary conditions.

Non-extremal Gibbs measures sometimes (Coquille).

In Dyson models

at low temperature (large β):

Two different extremal Gibbs measures,

for the same interaction,

called μ^+ and μ^- , for such Φ .

Plus convex combinations:

$$\mu_\lambda = \lambda\mu^+ + (1 - \lambda)\mu^-.$$

Random boundary conditions and metastates.

Ferromagnets with random boundary conditions act to some degree as toy examples of quenched disordered systems.

Hamiltonians and Gibbs measures disorder-dependent (dependent on disorder random variables η).

Here disordered boundary conditions.

They can display non-convergence of the sequence of finite-volume measures in the thermodynamic limit (Chaotic Size Dependence).

Instead:

Convergence in distribution

to objects called

“metastates.”

Random distributions on Gibbs measures,
which are (a subset of) the possible limit points
(Newman-Stein, Aizenman-Wehr).

Concepts developed for Spin Glasses.

Most complicated disordered spin systems.

Random boundary conditions ferromagnets are
among the simplest disordered spin systems.

Physically they are like

fixed boundary conditions for spin-glasses.

Rigorously true for Mattis disorder.

In general this distribution on Gibbs measures is **random** (η -dependent) object.

(Metastate is **measure on measures on measures**).

Translation covariance, needs proof.

Here **not needed**.

Simplifications for us:

- 1) the Gibbs measures are independent of the disorder,
- 2) they are translation invariant.

Still we obtain a **proper distribution**:

The metastate is **"dispersed"**,
and has thus a support consisting of
more than one Gibbs measure.

WARNING:

Metastates are different from mixtures.

Mixtures are measures on spins.

Metastates are

(measures on) measures on measures on spins.

Example 1:

Periodic boundary conditions produce

a non-dispersed metastate

on mixed symmetric Gibbs measure (n.n. 2d Ising).

$$\mu_{\text{symm}} = \frac{1}{2}(\mu^+ + \mu^-) \text{ and } \kappa = \delta_{\mu_{\text{symm}}}.$$

Example 2:

Random boundary conditions produce

a dispersed metastate

on pure plus and minus states (n.n. 2d Ising).

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{\mu^+} + \delta_{\mu^-}).$$

Consider a sufficiently **sparse** increasing sequence of intervals $\{-L_n, +L_n\}$ for Dyson models with **random** (Bernoulli) boundary conditions η , with $\eta_i = \pm 1$.

Question:

What could the **limit points** be of the sequence of finite-volume measures $\mu_{L_N}^{\alpha, \eta}$, when N diverges?

Answer:

Depends on α .

Case 1)

Interaction across the boundary **diverges** when $\alpha < \frac{3}{2}$.

Like higher-dimensional short-range models.

Case 2)

Boundary energy remains **bounded** otherwise.

Proof idea:

Let $W = \sum_{i<0, j>0} \eta_i |i - j|^{-\alpha} = \sum_{i<0} \eta_i |i|^{1-\alpha}$,

the interaction energy between **half-lines**,

the **plus configuration**

on the positive half-line (Dyson ground state)

and a **random configuration** (boundary condition)

η on the negative half-line.

Then $EW = 0$, and

$$EW^2 = \sum_{i<0} |i|^{2-2\alpha},$$

finite for $\alpha > \frac{3}{2}$,

infinite otherwise.

Remark on **energy estimates**:

1) **Maximal** energy of interval of length L with **plus** boundary conditions is $L^{2-\alpha}$.

Explains phase transition.

2) **Maximal** energy of interval of length L with **random** boundary conditions is $L^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha}$.

Explains metastate behaviour at low T .

(Similar to a one-dimensional long-range **spin-glass** ground state estimate).

3) Energy (square) expectation of **two random** configurations

on infinite half-lines left and right

$\sum_{i < 0, j > 0} |i - j|^{-2\alpha}$ is **finite** for all $\alpha > 1$.

High T ferromagnet.

(Similar to **positive- T** long-range spin-glass (**free-energy**) estimates).

Remark:

An interval of length N interacting with a half-line,
for $1 < \alpha < \frac{3}{2}$ has energy
 $O(N^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha})$.

This holds both
for a **plus** interval interacting
with a **random** half-line;
and for a **random** interval
interacting with a **plus** half-line.

Consequences:

Case 1):

When $\alpha < \frac{3}{2}$,

the limit points are determined by the **signs** of the diverging boundary term.

Thus one obtains

either the **plus** Gibbs measure μ^+

or the **minus** Gibbs measure μ^- .

The dispersed **metastate** Γ

is the **average** of those two:

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{\mu^+} + \delta_{\mu^-}).$$

Like **higher-dimensional**, $d > 1$, short-range Ising models.

Boundary energy shows Gaussian, central limit, behaviour and -more precisely- a weak form of a local limit theorem.

Case 2):

When $\alpha > \frac{3}{2}$: New behaviour

The boundary energies converge to some well-defined random variable.

Thus the sequence of finite-volume measures $\mu_{L_N}^{\alpha, \eta}$ now has as limit points Gibbs measures which are **mixtures** of the plus and minus measures:

$$\mu_\lambda = \lambda\mu^+ + (1 - \lambda)\mu^-.$$

As a consequence the dispersed **metastate** becomes an **average over these mixtures**:

$$\Gamma = \int P_\alpha(d\lambda)\delta_{\mu_\lambda},$$

with the measure on the mixtures P_α possibly dependent on the details of the model, like the value of α .

Remark:

A metastate construction with support on extremal Gibbs measures can always be made from metastates with mixed measures in its support (Cotar, Külske, Jahnke).

Of course in examples one can usually also do this by hand.

Here also.

Technically hardest part is controlling the **diverging boundary term**.

It **cannot be too large**

(proof by exponential Chebyshev).

It **cannot be too small**

(proof by weak form of local limit theorem.)

We can write

$$W_N^\eta(\sigma) = \sum_{i < 0, j=1 \dots N} |i - j|^\alpha \eta_i \sigma_j = \sum_{j=1 \dots N} h_j(\eta) \sigma_j,$$
with correlated random magnetic fields h_j .

$$P(|h_j| > |j|^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}) < \exp -|j|^\epsilon,$$

so Borel-Cantelli plus union bound

tell us that after an initial interval ALL h_j

almost surely will have satisfy this decaying upper bound.

This translates in the W_N being **not too large**,

so the low-temperature contour analysis survives.

In the other direction, not too small:

We want to control the characteristic functions

$$\Phi_N(t) = E \exp it \ln Z(H_N + W_N(\eta)),$$

again by an expansion.

Convergence in t improves in N , away from the boundary, distance from boundary also increasing with N .

We obtain that the probability

$$P(W_N < N^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha-\varepsilon}) < N^{-\varepsilon},$$

that is, the probability that

we are in a window smaller than the CLT scaling, goes to zero.

The rest is Borel-Cantelli.

Conclusions:

Long-range Ising models often behave like higher-dimensional short-range models.

But this holds in **some** but **not all** respects.

Similar behaviour of metastability.

Nucleation via critical droplets.

Similar behaviour in models in inhomogeneous fields.

Imry-Ma surface-volume arguments.

Different behaviour of interfaces.

Never interfaces for Dyson models.

Different behaviour of metastates.

Dyson models can have metastates on mixed Gibbs measures.

With E. Endo and A. Le Ny,
in progress.