

ASYMPTOTIC INDEPENDENCE VIA MALLIAVIN-STEIN METHOD

Leandro P. R. Pimentel (with Sergio I. López)

Instituto de Matemática - UFRJ

MOTIVATION AND RESULTS

STOCHASTIC HEAT EQUATION (SHE)

$\xi \equiv$ space-time white noise, $W \equiv$ two-sided BM

$$\partial_t Z = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 Z + Z \xi, \quad Z_0(x) = e^{\sigma W(x)}$$

KARDAR-PARISI-ZHANG EQUATION (KPZ)

$$h_t(x) = \log Z_t(x) \implies \partial_t h = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 h + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_x h)^2 + \xi$$

STOCHASTIC BURGERS EQUATION (SBE)

$$u_t(x) = \partial_x h_t(x) \implies \partial_t u = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 u + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x u^2 + \partial_x \xi$$

Forster-Nelson-Stephen 77, Kardar-Parisi-Zhang 86
Bertini-Giacomin 97, Hairer 13



MOTIVATION AND RESULTS

TWO-POINT CORRELATION FUNCTION SBE

$C_t(x) = \mathbb{E} [u_0(0)u_t(x)]$, or formally,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_1 u_0 dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_2 u_t dz \right] = \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi_1(x) \phi_2(y) C_t(y-x) dx dy .$$

Prahöfer-Spohn 04

ASYMPTOTIC INDEPENDENCE SBE

How far is the joint measure θ_t of the pair of observables

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_1 u_0 dx \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_2 u_t dz ,$$

from the product measure η_t induced by the marginals?

MOTIVATION AND RESULTS

Recall $h_0(x) = \sigma W(x)$ and let

$$\blacktriangleright g_t(x) = \text{Var} [h_t(x)]$$

$$\blacktriangleright \psi'_1 = \phi_1, \psi_1(0) = 0$$

THEOREM 1

$$\blacktriangleright C_t(x) = \frac{g_t''(x)}{2}$$

$$\blacktriangleright \text{Wass}(\theta_t, \eta_t) \leq \frac{1}{\sigma \|\phi_1\|_2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\phi'_2(z)| |\psi_1(z+x)| \frac{g_t''(x)}{2} dz dx$$



MOTIVATION AND RESULTS

- ▶ SHE $Z_0(x, y) = \delta(x - y) \mapsto Z_t(x, y)$ fundamental solution
- ▶ Solution of KPZ $\mapsto h_t(x) = \log \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} Z_t(x, y) e^{\sigma W(y)} dy \right)$
- ▶ End-point density $\mapsto p_t(y) = \frac{Z_t(0, y) e^{\sigma W(y)}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} Z_t(0, z) e^{\sigma W(z)} dz}$

Alberts-Khanin-Quastel 14

THEOREM 2

$$\mathbb{E} [p_t(y)] = \frac{g_t''(y)}{2\sigma^2}$$

MOTIVATION AND RESULTS

KPZ UNIVERSALITY

- ▶ $\epsilon^{1/2} h_{\epsilon^{3/2} t}(\epsilon x) - c_\epsilon t \rightarrow h_t(x)$, as $\epsilon \searrow 0$
- ▶ $g_t(x) = \text{Var } h_t(x) \sim t^{2/3} g(xt^{-2/3})$
- ▶ $\mathbb{E} [\rho_t(y)] \sim t^{-2/3} f(yt^{-2/3})$

RELATED WORKS

STATIONARY $\sigma = 1$

- ▶ Balázs-Quastel-Seppäläinen 11, Gu-Komorowski 22
- ▶ Borodin-Corwin-Ferrari-Vetö 15
- ▶ Imamura-Sasamoto 13, Maes-Thiery 17, Le Doussal 17

NARROW WEDGE AND $\sigma = 0$

- ▶ Amir-Corwin-Quastel 10, Corwin-Hammond 16
- ▶ Das-Zhu 22

KPZ FIXED POINT

- ▶ Matetski-Quastel-Remenik 21, Dauvergne-Ortmann-Virag 22
- ▶ Quastel-Sarkar 23, Virag 20
- ▶ P 22

PART 1: DISTANCE FROM INDEPENDENCE

▶ $(X_1, X_2) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, $\theta = \mathbb{P}_{X_1, X_2}$ and $\eta = \mathbb{P}_{X_1} \otimes \mathbb{P}_{X_2}$.

▶ Wasserstein Distance

$$\text{Wass}(\theta, \eta) = \sup_{\ell \in \text{Lip}_1} \left\{ \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ell d\theta - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ell d\eta \right| \right\}.$$

▶ $W = (W(x), x \in \mathbb{R})$ two-sided standard BM, $\sigma \geq 0$,

$$X_1 = \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_1 \dot{W} dx \text{ and } X_2 = X_2(\sigma, W).$$

MALLIAVIN DERIVATIVE

▶ $W(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi \dot{W} dx.$

▶ For $X = f(W(\phi_1), \dots, W(\phi_d))$

$$DX(u) = \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_{x_j} f(W(\phi_1), \dots, W(\phi_d)) \phi_j(u) \in \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}).$$

▶ $DX_1(u) = D(\sigma W(\phi_1))(u) = \sigma \phi_1(u).$

Lectures Notes by D. Nualart

MALLIAVIN DERIVATIVE

- ▶ Chain rule (CR)

$$Df(X_1, X_2) = \partial_{x_1} f(X_1, X_2)DX_1 + \partial_{x_2} f(X_1, X_2)DX_2 ;$$

- ▶ Integration by parts (IP)

$$\mathbb{E} [W(\phi)X] = \mathbb{E} [\langle DX, \phi \rangle] ;$$

- ▶ Correlation $\mathbb{E} [X_1X_2] = \sigma \mathbb{E} [\langle DX_2, \phi_1 \rangle]$;

STEIN'S METHOD - ASYMP. INDEPENDENCE

- ▶ Let $\mathcal{N}f(x_1, x_2) = \sigma_1^2 \partial_{x_1} f(x_1, x_2) - x_1 f(x_1, x_2)$. Then

$$X_1 \sim N(0, \sigma_1^2) \text{ and } X_1 \perp X_2 \iff \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{N}f(X_1, X_2)] = 0.$$

- ▶ For $X_1 = \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_1 \dot{W} dx \sim N(0, \sigma_1^2)$, with $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma^2 \|\phi_1\|_2^2$, use

$$\mathbb{E} [\mathcal{N}f(X_1, X_2)]$$

to quantify distance from independence.

Lectures Notes by S. Chatterjee

STEIN'S METHOD - ASYMP. INDEPENDENCE

LEMMA 1

Given a nice function ℓ , there exists a unique bounded solution $f_\ell : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the equation

$$\mathcal{N}f(x_1, x_2) = \ell(x_1, x_2) - \mathbb{E} [\ell(X_1, x_2)] .$$

Furthermore,

- ▶ $\|f_\ell\|_\infty \leq \|\partial_{x_1}\ell\|_\infty ;$
- ▶ $\|\partial_{x_1}f_\ell\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \|\partial_{x_1}\ell\|_\infty ;$
- ▶ $\|\partial_{x_2}f_\ell\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \|\partial_{x_2}\ell\|_\infty .$



STEIN'S METHOD - ASYMP. INDEPENDENCE

PROOF

Let $\ell : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nice function and $Y \sim N(0, \sigma_1^2)$. Then

$$f_\ell(x_1, x_2) = -\frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t(1-t)}} \mathbb{E} \left[Y \ell \left(\sqrt{t}x_1 + \sqrt{1-t}Y, x_2 \right) \right] dt.$$

Use that $\mathbb{E} [Yf(Y)] = \sigma_1^2 \mathbb{E} [f'(Y)]$.

STEIN'S METHOD - ASYMP. INDEPENDENCE

If $\theta = \mathbb{P}_{X_1, X_2}$ and $\eta = \mathbb{P}_{X_1} \otimes \mathbb{P}_{X_2}$

$$\implies \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ell d\theta - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ell d\eta = \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{N}f_\ell(X_1, X_2)] .$$

WASSERSTEIN DISTANCE TO INDEPENDENCE

$$\text{Wass}(\theta, \eta) \leq \sup_{f_\ell} \left| \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{N}f_\ell(X_1, X_2)] \right| .$$

MALLIAVIN-STEIN METHOD - ASYMP. INDEP.

Recall $X_1 = \sigma W(\phi_1) \sim N(0, \sigma_1^2)$ with $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma^2 \|\phi_1\|_2^2$.

$\mathbb{E} [X_1 f(X_1, X_2)] = \sigma \mathbb{E} [W(\phi_1) f(X_1, X_2)]$ equals (IP+CR)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma \mathbb{E} [\langle Df(X_1, X_2), \phi_1 \rangle] \\ &= \sigma \mathbb{E} \left[\partial_{x_1} f(X_1, X_2) \langle DX_1, \phi_1 \rangle \right] + \sigma \mathbb{E} \left[\partial_{x_2} f(X_1, X_2) \langle DX_2, \phi_1 \rangle \right] \\ &= \sigma_1^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\partial_{x_1} f(X_1, X_2) \right] + \sigma \mathbb{E} \left[\partial_{x_2} f(X_1, X_2) \langle DX_2, \phi_1 \rangle \right]. \end{aligned}$$

MALLIAVIN-STEIN METHOD - ASYMP. INDEP.

Recall $\mathcal{N}f(x_1, x_2) = \sigma_1^2 \partial_{x_1} f(x_1, x_2) - x_1 f(x_1, x_2)$,

$$\implies \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{N}f(X_1, X_2)] = -\sigma \mathbb{E} \left[\partial_{x_2} f(X_1, X_2) \langle DX_2, \phi_1 \rangle \right],$$

and Lemma 1: $\|\partial_{x_2} f_\ell\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \|\partial_{x_2} \ell\|_\infty$.

LEMMA 2

If $\theta = \mathbb{P}_{X_1, X_2}$ and $\eta = \mathbb{P}_{X_1} \otimes \mathbb{P}_{X_2}$ then

$$\text{Wass}(\theta, \eta) \leq \frac{1}{\|\phi_1\|_2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[|\langle DX_2, \phi_1 \rangle| \right].$$

SUMMING UP PART 1

- ▶ Correlation $\mathbb{E} [X_1 X_2] = \sigma \mathbb{E} [\langle DX_2, \phi_1 \rangle]$
- ▶ Distance to Independence

$$\text{Wass}(\theta, \eta) \leq \frac{1}{\|\phi_1\|_2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[|\langle DX_2, \phi_1 \rangle| \right].$$

- ▶ DX_2 not only provides quantitative information about decorrelation but also about asymptotic independence.

PART 2: PROOFS

KPZ EQUATION

$$\partial_t h = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 h + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_x h)^2 + \xi, \quad h_0(x) = \sigma W(x).$$

STOCHASTIC BURGERS EQUATION

$$u_t(x) = \partial_x h_t(x) \implies \partial_t u = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 u + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x u^2 + \partial_x \xi.$$

OBSERVABLES

$$X_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_1 u_0 dx = \sigma W(\phi_1) \quad \text{and} \quad X_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_2 u_t dx.$$

$$\theta_t = \mathbb{P}_{X_1, X_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_t = \mathbb{P}_{X_1} \otimes \mathbb{P}_{X_2}$$



RECALL

- ▶ $g_t(x) = \text{Var} [h_t(x)];$
- ▶ $\phi_2 \star \phi_1(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_2(z)\phi_1(z+x)dz;$
- ▶ $\psi_1' = \phi_1, \psi_1(0) = 0;$
- ▶ $p_t(y) = \frac{Z_t(0,y)e^{\sigma W(y)}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} Z_t(0,z)e^{\sigma W(z)}dz}.$

RESULTS

- ▶ $\mathbb{E} [p_t(y)] = \frac{g_t''(y)}{2\sigma^2};$
- ▶ $\mathbb{E} [X_1X_2] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_2 \star \phi_1(x)g_t''(x)dx;$
- ▶ $\text{Wass}(\theta_t, \eta_t) \leq \frac{1}{2\sigma\|\phi_1\|_2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_2'| \star |\psi_1|(x)g_t''(x)dx.$

STOCHASTIC HEAT EQUATION

$$\partial_t Z = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 Z + Z \xi, \quad Z_0(x, y) = \delta(x - y).$$

Cole-Hopf solution of KPZ:

$$h_t(x) = \log \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} Z_t(x, y) e^{\sigma W(y)} dy \right).$$

COMPUTING DX_2

Thus

$$D(h_t(x))(u) = \sigma \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} Z_t(x, y) e^{\sigma W(y)} \mathbb{1}_y(u) dy}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} Z_t(x, y) e^{\sigma W(y)} dy},$$

where

$$u \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{1}_y(u) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{1}_{(0, y]}(u) & \text{if } y > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } y = 0, \\ -\mathbb{1}_{(y, 0]}(u) & \text{if } y < 0. \end{cases}$$



COMPUTING DX_2

POLYMER END-POINT (Y)

- ▶ Quenched $p_{x,t}(y) = \frac{Z_t(x,y)e^{\sigma W(y)}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} Z_t(x,z)e^{\sigma W(z)} dz}$;
- ▶ $\implies D(h_t(x))(u) = \sigma E_{x,t} [\mathbb{1}_Y(u)]$;
- ▶ $X_2 = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_2'(x) h_t(x) dx$;
- ▶ $\implies DX_2(u) = -\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_2'(x) E_{x,t} [\mathbb{1}_Y(u)] dx$.



COMPUTING DX_2

POLYMER END-POINT (Y)

- ▶ Annealed $\mathbb{E}_{x,t} [\cdot] \equiv \mathbb{E} [E_{x,t} [\cdot]], \mathbb{E}_t \equiv \mathbb{E}_{0,t};$
- ▶ Invariance $\mathbb{E}_{x,t} [f(Y)] = \mathbb{E}_t [f(Y + x)];$
- ▶ Symmetry $\mathbb{E}_t [f(-Y)] = \mathbb{E}_t [f(Y)].$

COMPUTING THE END-POINT DENSITY

Notice that

$$g_t(y) = \text{Var} [h_t(y)] = \text{Var} [h_t(0)] - \sigma^2|y| + 2\mathbb{E} [h_0(y)h_t(y)] .$$

IP implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [h_0(y)h_t(y)] &= \sigma \mathbb{E} [\langle D(h_t(y)), \mathbf{1}_y \rangle] \\ &= \sigma^2 \mathbb{E} [\langle E_{y,t} [\mathbf{1}_Y], \mathbf{1}_y \rangle] \\ &= \sigma^2 \begin{cases} \mathbb{E}_t [(y \wedge (y + Y))^+] & \text{if } y \geq 0, \\ \mathbb{E}_t [(y \vee (y + Y))^-] & \text{if } y \leq 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

COMPUTING THE END-POINT DENSITY

$$\mathbb{E}_t [(y \wedge (y + Y))^+] = y\mathbb{P}_t [Y > -y] + \mathbb{E}_t [Y\mathbf{1}_{\{Y \in (-y, 0]\}}]$$

$$\mathbb{E}_t [(y \vee (y + Y))^-] = -y\mathbb{P}_t [Y \leq -y] - \mathbb{E}_t [Y\mathbf{1}_{\{Y \in (0, -y]\}}]$$

$$(\mathbb{E} [h_0(y)h_t(y)])' = \sigma^2 \begin{cases} \mathbb{P}_t [Y \leq y] & \text{if } y \geq 0, \\ \mathbb{P}_t [Y \leq y] - 1 & \text{if } y \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\implies g'_t(y) = \sigma^2 (2\mathbb{P}_t [Y \leq y] - 1) .$$

TWO-POINT CORRELATION

Recall $\psi_1(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_1(u) \mathbf{1}_Y(u) du$. Fubini implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle DX_2, \phi_1 \rangle &= -\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_2'(x) E_{x,t} [\mathbf{1}_Y(u)] dx \right) \phi_1(u) du \\ &= -\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_2'(x) E_{x,t} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_1(u) \mathbf{1}_Y(u) du \right] dx \\ &= -\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_2'(x) E_{x,t} [\psi_1(Y)] dx .\end{aligned}$$



TWO-POINT CORRELATION

Fubini and standard integration by parts imply that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} [\langle DX_2, \phi_1 \rangle] &= -\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_2'(x) \mathbb{E}_t [\psi_1(x + Y)] dx \\ &= -\sigma \mathbb{E}_t \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_2'(x) \psi_1(x + Y) dx \right] \\ &= \sigma \mathbb{E}_t \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_2(x) \phi_1(x + Y) dx \right] \\ &= \sigma \mathbb{E}_t [\phi_2 \star \phi_1(Y)] .\end{aligned}$$

DISTANCE TO INDEPENDENCE

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} [|\langle DX_2, \phi_1 \rangle|] &\leq \sigma \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_2'(x)| E_{x,t} [|\psi_1(Y)|] dx \right] \\ &= \sigma \mathbb{E}_t \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_2'(x)| |\psi_1(x + Y)| dx \right] \\ &= \sigma \mathbb{E}_t [|\phi_2'| \star |\psi_1|(Y)] .\end{aligned}$$



FINAL REMARKS

- ▶ For all $\sigma > 0$, $g_t''(x)$ gives the end-point density, the two-point correlation function, and the speed of convergence to independence Lopez-P 22;
- ▶ Analogous results can be proved with the same tools for the KPZ fixed point P 22;
- ▶ Stochastic calculus allows us to study some aspects of KPZ continuous models without the need for discrete approximations.

