

# Fine bounds on covariance estimation

*Probability Seminar - IM-UFRJ*

Based on a joint work with Roberto I. Oliveria (IMPA).

Zoraida Fernandez-Rico  
Columbia University, NY

---

"Rio de Janeiro", 24th April 2023

# Preparing the ground

---

**Mean estimation problem:** Given  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  i.i.d. real random variables with distribution  $P$ , we want to estimate  $\mu_P = \mathbb{E}_{X \sim P}[X]$ .

Natural choice:  $\hat{\mu}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i.$

Why choose the arithmetic mean? On certain natural conditions, when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\hat{\mu}_n \rightarrow \mu_P.$$

# Preparing the ground

**Question:** Given  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ , what is the smallest  $\epsilon = \epsilon(n, \delta, \sigma^2, \mu_P)$  such that for any  $P$  with  $\mu_P$  and  $\sigma^2$ :

$$\mathbb{P} \left( |\widehat{X}_n - \mu_P| \geq \epsilon \right) \leq \delta ?$$

## Central Limit theorem

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left( |\widehat{\mu}_n - \mu_P| > \sigma \sqrt{\frac{2 \log(2/\delta)}{n}} \right) \leq \delta.$$

We would like similar inequalities in a non-asymptotic setting.

# Why Sub-Gaussian?

---

For any  $M > 0, \alpha \in (0, 1], \delta > 2e^{-n/4}$ , for any mean estimator, there exist a distribution  $\mathbb{E}[|X - \mathbb{E}[X]|^{1-\alpha}] = M$  such that:

$$|\widehat{E}_n - \mu| \geq \left( \frac{M^{1/\alpha} \log(1/\delta)}{n} \right)^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}$$

with probability greater than  $\delta$ .

"Sub-Gaussian mean estimators." Devroye, Lerasle, Lugosi, Oliveira (2016).

## The sample mean is not optimal

If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d. on  $\mathbb{R}$  with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2 < +\infty$ , Catoni showed that Chebyshev's inequality is essentially tight for some data distribution:

$$c\delta \leq \mathbb{P} \left( \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \mu \right| \leq \sigma \sqrt{\frac{1}{\delta n}} \right) \leq \delta.$$

If the distribution is not sub-Gaussian, we only have Chebyshev's inequality.

Are there better estimators?

# There are better estimators!\_\_\_\_\_

**The median-of-means.** Nemirovsky, Yudin (1983), Birgé (1984) and Valiant and Vazirani (1986).

$$\hat{\mu}_{\text{MoM}} := \text{median} \left[ \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m X_i, \dots, \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=(k-1)m+1}^{km} X_t \right]$$

**Catoni.** Let  $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an antisymmetric increasing function and  $a$  a parameter. Then, we define Catoni's mean estimator  $\hat{\mu}_{a,n}$  as the unique value  $y$  such that

$$R_{n,a}(y) := \sum_{i=1}^n \psi(a(X_i - y)) = 0.$$

# Robustness

---

**Probabilistic contamination (Huber, 1964):** There is an uncontaminated distribution  $P$ . But data comes from a contaminated law  $(1 - \eta)P + \eta Q$  with  $Q$  unknown.

**Assumption 1.** A set of random variables  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ , defined over the same probability space as the  $X_i$ , is called an  **$\eta$ -contamination** of  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  if  $\#\{i \in [n] : Y_i \neq X_i\} \leq \eta n$ .

# Trimmed means

Let  $X_{(1)} \leq \dots \leq X_{(n)}$  denote the order statistics of the  $X_{1:n}$ . Given  $k \in (0, n/2)$ , the  $k$ -trimmed-mean is given by:

$$\bar{X}_{n,k} = \frac{1}{n - 2k} \sum_{i=k+1}^{n-k} X_{(i)}.$$

**Our first result.-** Make Assumption 1. Given  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ . Choose  $k = \lfloor \eta n \rfloor + \lceil 8 \log(1/\delta) \rceil$  and  $n > Ck$ , then with probability  $\geq 1 - \delta$ :

$$|\bar{Y}_{n,k} - \mu| \leq c\sigma(1 + \epsilon_p(n, \delta, \eta)) \sqrt{\frac{2 \log(2/\delta)}{n}} + c\nu_p \eta^{1 - \frac{1}{p}}.$$

"A new look at the trimmed mean", Roberto I. Oliveira, Paulo Orenstein, R' (2023)



## Trimmed means

---

See [Lugosi and Mendelson \(2021\)](#) for generalizations.

Also works when the variance is infinite. If  $\mathbb{E} [|X - \mu_P|^{1+\alpha}] = M$  for some  $\alpha \leq 1$ . Then with probability  $\geq 1 - \delta$ :

$$|\bar{Y}_{n,k} - \mu| \leq \left( \frac{cM^{1/\alpha} \log(8/\delta)}{n} \right)^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)} + c\nu_p \eta^{1-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

**Nearly optimal constant.** Assume  $\nu_p < +\infty, \epsilon = 0$ . Let be  $M_4 := \nu_4/\sigma \geq 1$ , there exists  $c > 0$  such that for any  $h \in (0, 1)$ , if  $\log(4/\delta) \leq (c M_4)^{\frac{8}{4-1}} n$ , then

$$\mathbb{P} \left[ |\bar{X}_{n,k} - \mu| \leq (1 + h) \sigma \sqrt{\frac{2 \log(4/\delta)}{n}} \right] \geq 1 - \delta.$$

Sub-Gaussian confidence intervals.

# Higher dimensions

---

What is sub-Gaussian? Take  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{GAUS},\Sigma} := \{\text{all Gaussian } P : \Sigma_P = \Sigma\}$ .

Then the sample mean

$$\hat{\mu}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

satisfies for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{GAUS},\Sigma}$  :

$$\mathbb{P}_P \left( \|\hat{\mu}_n - \mu_P\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma)}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{2 \log(2/\delta) \|\Sigma_P\|}{n}} \right) \geq 1 - \delta.$$

# Robustness in higher dimensions

Assume  $p \geq 2$  and  $\nu_P(p) := \sup_{v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} [\mathbb{E}_{X \sim P} |\langle X - \mu_P, v \rangle|^p]^{1/p} < +\infty$ .

Goal: for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}_p$ ,  $p \geq 2$ : for all  $\delta \in (0, 1)$

$$\mathbb{P}_P \left( \|\widehat{E}_n(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) - \mu_P\| \leq c \epsilon_P^*(\delta, n) + c r_p(\eta) \right) \geq 1 - \delta$$

- $\epsilon^*(\delta, n) = \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma)}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{2 \log(2/\delta) \|\Sigma_P\|}{n}},$
- $r_p(\eta) = \nu_P(p) \eta^{\frac{p-1}{p}}.$

## Results in higher dimensions

---

Hsu and Sabato (2016) generalized median-of-means.

Minsker (2015) presents the geometric median-of-means: computationally feasible, dimension free and almost sub-Gaussian.

Joly, Lugosi and Oliveira (2017): sub-Gaussian performance.

Lugosi and Mendelson (2017) generalized MoM: median-of-means tournaments. It was made computationally tractable by Hopkins (2020)  $O(nd + (dk)^8)$ , it achieve  $r_p(\eta) \leq \sqrt{\|\Sigma\|}\eta$  for  $p = 2$ .

## Results in higher dimensions

---

Other estimators are computable but do not do better for  $p > 2$ . See Diakonikolas Kane et al. (2019).

Depersin and Lecué (2022)  $O(n)$ .

Trimmed mean of Lugosi and Mendelson (2021) is optimal for  $p \geq 2$ , but it is not computable.

Resende and Oliveira (2023) present the best possible result when there is contamination.

What is missing? We want a computationally efficient method.

# Covariance estimation

---

Kannan, Lovász and Simonovits (1997).

K. Tikhomirov (2018): the optimal rate of convergence  $\sqrt{\frac{d}{n}}$  for the sample covariance matrix assuming only the existence of  $p > 4$  moments.

Bai and Yin provide convergence rates in the asymptotic setting.

Given  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  an  $\eta$ -contamination of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . We want to estimate  $\Sigma = \mathbb{E}(X_1 X_1^\top)$ .

# Covariance estimation

---

Denote the effective rank of the covariance matrix as

$$r(\Sigma) := \frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma)}{\|\Sigma\|_{\text{op}}}.$$

**Assumption 2.** ( $L^p - L^2$  norm equivalence)

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. random vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\mathbb{E}[\|X_1\|^p] < +\infty$  for  $p \geq 4$ . For all  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $2 \leq q \leq p$ ,

$$(\mathbb{E}|\langle X_1, v \rangle|^q)^{1/q} \leq \kappa(q)(\mathbb{E}|\langle X_1, v \rangle|^2)^{1/2}.$$

# Sub-Gaussian Bounds

---

We want a measurable function  $\widehat{E}_{n,\delta}(X_1, \dots, X_n) : (\mathbb{R}^d)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  such that:

$$\|\widehat{E}_{n,\delta}(X_1, \dots, X_n) - \Sigma_P\|_{\text{op}} \leq c \kappa(p) \|\Sigma\|_{\text{op}} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\text{r}(\Sigma)}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{n}} \right),$$

with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ . Above  $c > 0$  is uniform in  $n$  and  $\delta$ .



# Overview of known results

---

Koltchinskii and Lounici (2017).

Minsker (2018).

Catoni (2016) and Catoni and Giulini (2017). Mean estimation of matrices from a random sample.

# Overview of known results

---

**Mendelson and Zhivotovskiy (2019).** For  $\eta = 0$ , their estimator requires a sample size  $n \geq C(r(\Sigma) \log(r(\Sigma)) + \log(1/\delta))$  and achieves the following bound with probability  $\geq 1 - \delta$  :

$$\|\widehat{\Sigma}_{n,\delta} - \Sigma_P\|_{\text{op}} \leq c \kappa_4^2 \|\Sigma\|_{\text{op}} \left( \sqrt{\frac{r(\Sigma) \log(r(\Sigma))}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{n}} \right).$$

Parallel work by **Abdalla and Zhivotovskiy (2022)**.

## Theorem 1. The main result

Fix  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\eta \in [0, 1/2)$ . Then, there is a constant  $C > 0$  and an estimator  $\widehat{E}_\star$  such that, whenever Assumptions 1 and 2 hold,  $n \geq C(\mathbf{r}(\Sigma) + \log(1/\delta))$  and  $\eta \leq 1/C\kappa_4^4$ ; then

$$\|\widehat{E}_\star - \Sigma\|_{\text{op}} \leq C\kappa_2^2 \|\Sigma\|_{\text{op}} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{r}(\Sigma)}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{n}} \right) + C\kappa_p^2 \|\Sigma\|_{\text{op}} \eta^{1-\frac{2}{p}}$$

with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ .

## Key ideas

*Sample trimming.*

*Truncation.*

*PAC- Bayesian techniques* for empirical processes.

## Proof ideas

---

1. Estimate  $\langle v, \Sigma v \rangle$  uniformly over all  $v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ .
2. Consider the following *trimmed mean estimator* for  $\langle v, \Sigma v \rangle$ :

$$\hat{e}_k(v) = \frac{1}{n-k} \inf_{S \subset [n], \#S=n-k} \sum_{i \in S} \langle Y_i, v \rangle^2.$$

## Proof ideas

---

1. Estimate  $\langle v, \Sigma v \rangle$  uniformly over all  $v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ .
2. Consider the following *trimmed mean estimator* for  $\langle v, \Sigma v \rangle$ :

$$\hat{e}_k(v) = \frac{1}{n-k} \inf_{S \subset [n], \#S=n-k} \sum_{i \in S} \langle Y_i, v \rangle^2.$$

3. Show the following result under *a counting condition*:

$$\forall v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} : \#\{i \in [n] : \langle X_i - \mu_P, v \rangle^2 > B\} \leq t$$

we have an approximation

$$\sup_{v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |\hat{e}_k(v) - \langle v, \Sigma v \rangle| \approx \sup_{v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \langle X_i, v \rangle^2 \wedge B - \mathbb{E}(\langle X_i, v \rangle^2 \wedge B) \right|$$

## Proof ideas

1. Estimate  $\langle v, \Sigma v \rangle$  uniformly over all  $v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ .
2. Consider the following *trimmed mean estimator* for  $\langle v, \Sigma v \rangle$ :

$$\hat{e}_k(v) = \frac{1}{n-k} \inf_{S \subset [n], \#S=n-k} \sum_{i \in S} \langle Y_i, v \rangle^2.$$

3. Show the following result under *a counting condition*:

$$\forall v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} : \#\{i \in [n] : \langle X_i - \mu_P, v \rangle^2 > B\} \leq t$$

we have an approximation

$$\sup_{v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |\hat{e}_k(v) - \langle v, \Sigma v \rangle| \approx \underbrace{\sup_{v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \langle X_i, v \rangle^2 \wedge B - \mathbb{E}(\langle X_i, v \rangle^2 \wedge B) \right|}_{\varepsilon(B)}$$

## Proof ideas

---

4. PAC-Bayesian techniques.
5. Show that the estimator is good for a range of values  $k$ .
6. Choose a "good value" of  $\hat{k}$  and output  $\hat{e}_{\hat{k}}(v)$  for all  $v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ .



## Proof ideas

### Proposition 1.

There exists a random element  $\widehat{E}_k$  of  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d}$  such that:

$$\widehat{E}_k \in \arg \min_{A \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d}} \left( \sup_{v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |\langle v, Av \rangle - \hat{e}_k(v)| \right).$$

Moreover,  $\|\widehat{E}_k - \Sigma\| \leq 2 \sup_{v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |\langle v, Av \rangle - \hat{e}_k(v)|$ .

**Proof.-** Kuratowski- Ryll-Nardzewski theorem.

Let  $H_k(A) := \sup_{v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |\langle v, Av \rangle - \hat{e}_k(v)|$ , then

$$\|\widehat{E}_k - \Sigma\| = \sup_{v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |\langle v, \widehat{E}_k v \rangle - \langle v, \Sigma v \rangle| \leq H_k(\widehat{E}_k) + H_k(\Sigma).$$

# PAC-Bayes

**Assumption 3.**  $\{Z_i(\theta)_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d}\}$  is a family of random variables defined on a common probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ .

1.  $(\omega, \theta) \rightarrow Z_i(\omega)(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}$  is  $(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))/\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable.
2. Given  $\gamma > 0$ , we denote by  $\Gamma_{v, \gamma}$  the Gaussian probability measure over  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with mean  $v$  and covariance matrix  $\gamma I_{d \times d}$ . We also assume that for all  $\omega \in \Omega$  the integrals

$$(\Gamma_{v, \gamma} Z_\theta)(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Z_\theta(\omega) \Gamma_{v, \gamma} d(\theta)$$

are well defined for all  $\omega$  and depend continuously on  $v$ .

3. For each  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\{Z_i(\theta)\}$  are independent with bounded second moment, and  $Z_i(\theta) - \mathbb{E}[Z_i(\theta)] \leq M$  for some constant  $M > 0$ .

# PAC-Bayes

Denote:  $\bar{\mu}_\gamma := \sup_{v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \Gamma_{v,\gamma} \mathbb{E}[Z_1(\theta)]$  and  $\bar{\sigma}_\gamma := \sup_{v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \Gamma_{v,\gamma} \text{Var}[Z_1(\theta)]$ .

Lemma 1. PAC-Bayesian version of Bernstein's inequality

*Make Assumption 3. Then, with probability at least  $1 - \delta$  :*

$$\sup_{v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_{v,\gamma} (Z_i(\theta) - \mathbb{E}[Z_i(\theta)]) \leq n\bar{\mu}_\gamma + \bar{\sigma}_\gamma \sqrt{n}(\gamma^{-2} + 2 \log(1/\delta)) \\ + \frac{M (\gamma^{-2} \|v\|^2 + 2 \log(1/\delta))}{6}.$$

# A counting lemma

Counting condition:

$$\text{Count}(B, t) := \{ \forall v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} : \#\{i \in [n] : \langle X_i, v \rangle^2 > B\} \leq t \}.$$

Lemma 2. Counting lemma over the unit sphere

*Under Assumption 1 and 2, pick  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  and set:*

$$B_p(t) := \|\Sigma\|_{\text{op}} \left[ c\kappa_p^2 \left( \frac{cn}{t} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \vee c\kappa_4^2 \mathbf{r}(\Sigma) \frac{\sqrt{n}}{t^{3/2}} \right].$$

*Then:*

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{Count}(B_p(t), t)) \geq 1 - e^{-t}.$$

# Empirical process

---

$$\varepsilon(B) := \sup_{v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \langle X_i, v \rangle^2 \wedge B - \mathbb{E}(\langle X_i, v \rangle^2 \wedge B) \right|$$

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_\gamma(B) := \sup_{v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_{\gamma, v} \left( \langle X_i, \theta \rangle^2 \wedge B - \mathbb{E}(\langle X_i, \theta \rangle^2 \wedge B) \right) \right|$$

# Empirical process

## Lemma 3. (Gaussian version)

*Make Assumption 1 and 2. Consider  $\gamma, B > 0$ . Then*

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\gamma}(B) \leq c(\kappa) (\|\Sigma\|_{\text{op}} + \gamma^2 \text{tr}(\Sigma)) \sqrt{\frac{2 \log(2/\delta) + \gamma^{-2}}{n}} + \frac{B(2 \log(1/\delta) + \gamma^{-2})}{n}$$

*with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ .*

## Lemma 4. (Bound difference)

*Make Assumption 1 and 2. Consider  $\gamma, B > 0$ . Then*

$$|\varepsilon(B) - \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\gamma}(B)| \leq \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n ((\gamma^2 \|X_i\|^2) \wedge B - \mathbb{E}[(\gamma^2 \|X_i\|^2) \wedge B]) \right| + \frac{B k}{n} c$$

*with probability at least  $1 - e^{-k}$ .*

# Putting everything together

## Lemma 5.

Make Assumption 1 and 2. Consider  $k_0 = \lfloor \eta n \rfloor + \lceil c\eta n + \mathbf{r}(\Sigma) + \log(32/3\delta) \rceil < n$  and  $p \geq 4$ , then

$$\bigcap_{k=k_0}^{n-1} \{ \|\hat{\mathbf{E}}_k - \Sigma\| \leq C\|\Sigma\|\kappa_4^2 \sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{r}(\Sigma) + \log(1/\delta) + (k - k_0)}{n}} + C\kappa_p^2\|\Sigma\| \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \},$$

with probability  $\geq 1 - \delta/2$ .

## The final estimator

1. Define  $\widehat{T} := \inf_{S \subset [n], \#S=n-k} \frac{1}{n-k} \sum_{i \in S} \|Y_i\|^2$ . It follows with probability at least  $1 - \delta/2$

$$\frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma)}{2} \leq 2\widehat{T} \leq \frac{3\text{tr}(\Sigma)}{2}.$$

2. Under Assumptions 1 and 2. Set  $n > D\kappa_p^2(\log(1/\delta) + r(\Sigma))$  and  $k^* = \lfloor n/D \rfloor$ . Then, with high probability:

$$\frac{\|\Sigma\|}{2} \leq \|\widehat{E}_{k^*}\| \leq \frac{3\|\Sigma\|}{2}$$

3. Therefore, we set  $\widehat{k} = \lfloor \eta n \rfloor + \lceil \frac{3\widehat{T}}{\|\widehat{E}_{k^*}\|} + \log(32/3\delta) \rceil$ .



## The final estimator

1. Define  $\widehat{T} := \inf_{S \subset [n], \#S=n-k} \frac{1}{n-k} \sum_{i \in S} \|Y_i\|^2$ . It follows with probability at least  $1 - \delta/2$

$$\frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma)}{2} \leq 2\widehat{T} \leq \frac{3\text{tr}(\Sigma)}{2}.$$

2. Under Assumptions 1 and 2. Set  $n > D\kappa_p^2(\log(1/\delta) + r(\Sigma))$  and  $k^* = \lfloor n/D \rfloor$ . Then, with high probability:

$$\frac{\|\Sigma\|}{2} \leq \|\widehat{E}_{k^*}\| \leq \frac{3\|\Sigma\|}{2}$$

3. Therefore, we set  $\widehat{k} = \lfloor \eta n \rfloor + \lceil \frac{3\widehat{T}}{\|\widehat{E}_{k^*}\|} + \log(32/3\delta) \rceil$ .  $\widehat{E}_\star = \widehat{E}_{\widehat{k}}$ .

# Our current work

---

Computationally efficient mean estimator for vectors under heavy tails and adversarial contamination setting.

Sparse framework.

Linear regression.



Regression



Covariance



Obrigada! \_\_\_\_\_